

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/963,360 09/24/2001 Gerald J. Ware WAR1394.07A 8250 8156 7590 10/29/2004 **EXAMINER** JOHN P. O'BANION YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP 400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1761

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,360	WARE, GERALD J.	/
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George C Yeung	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply chiplaces the application	to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extensi	ion fee under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	s Brief must be filed within the p	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):	
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT p	place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims we	s) a) will not be entered or b)	□ will be entered and	l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The second secon	m or appointed.	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>59-61</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 31.		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-22, 24-27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35-42,</u>	44-51 53-58 and 62-68		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none.</u>	. 11 01, 00 00 and 02-00.		
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
0. ☐ Other:	(σ)(1 10 1449) ι αμεί Νυ(s)	·	
		0 0	

George C Yeung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761 Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issue: The limitation "particulate" inserted in claims 19, 25, 30, 40, 49 and 62 is claimed for the first time. New matter: There is no support in the original specification for the limitation "a particulate support substrate" as recited in claims 19, 25, 30, 40, 49 and 62. The specification basis for such limitation should be pointed out by line and page, if basis can be provided. No new matter can properly be introduced into the specification.